UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/678,885	10/03/2000	Daniel F. White	9236	4770
26884 PAUL W. MAI	7590 04/30/200 RTIN	7	EXAMINER	
NCR CORPORATION, LAW DEPT. 1700 S. PATTERSON BLVD, DAYTON, OH 45479-0001		•	RUDY, ANDREW J	
			, ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ŕ			3627	
			NA. W. D. TE	DEL WEDY MODE
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/30/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant/a)			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commons	09/678,885	WHITE, DANIEL F.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Andrew Joseph Rudy	3627			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Description of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1, after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 J	lanuary 2007.				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Thi	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allows	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
<ul> <li>4)</li></ul>	is/are withdrawn from considera	tion.			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ction is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica prity documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar	y (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date			

Application/Control Number: 09/678,885

Art Unit: 3627

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

1. Claims 1, 4-6, 9-11, 14-16, 19 and 20 are pending. Claims 11, 14-16, 19 and 20 are withdrawn from consideration as drawn to a non-elected invention.

2. Applicant's January 30, 2007 Amendment and REMARKS have been reviewed. The previous rejections are withdrawn pursuant thereto.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 4-6, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rodriguez et al. US 7,158,948.

Rodriguez discloses, e.g. Figs. 1A-7B, a purchase transaction, e.g. 702, formatting transaction data into a digital receipt, e.g. e-receipt, selectively omitting identified purchase transaction data, e.g. col. 4, lines 45-59, an optical card machine, col. 3, lines 23-44, transmitting the digital receipt to a storage location for the merchant, e.g. 320, 322, a network, e.g. 202, Figs. 3A, 3B, and a device, e.g. safe card. Rodriguez does not specifically disclose a retail terminal, but does disclose a terminal, e.g. cols. 1-2, lines 65-12, used with purchase transaction settings. Nonetheless, Official Notice is taken that retail terminals used with electronic cards has been common knowledge in

Art Unit: 3627

the art. To have provided such for Rodriguez would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. The motivation for having done such would have been using retail terminals for its intended use.

Applicant's January 30, 2007 REMARKS have been reviewed, but are moot in light of the new grounds of rejection.

5. A further pertinent reference of interest is noted on the attached PTO-1449.

## **Conclusion**

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 3627

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Joseph Rudy whose telephone number is 571-272-6789. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ryan M. Zeender can be reached on 571-272-6790. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Andrew Joseph Rudy

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3627